EXHIBIT 7

Coase-331.77cvv0009399VVVHAA Dougumaent200208 Fiilleou100125117 Flagge1200156 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664) 1 MJacobs@mofo.com ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ (CA SBN 121490) 2 AGonzalez@mofo.com 3 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 4 Tel: 415.268.7000 / Fax: 415.268.7522 5 KAREN L. DUNN (*Pro Hac Vice*) 6 kdunn@bsfllp.com HAMISH P.M. HUME (*Pro Hac Vice*) 7 hhume@bsfllp.com BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP 8 1401 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington DC 20005 Tel: 202.237.2727 / Fax: 202.237.6131 9 WILLIAM CARMODY (Pro Hac Vice) 10 bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com SHAWN RABIN (*Pro Hac Vice*) 11 srabin@SusmanGodfrey.com 12 SUSMAN GODFREY LLP 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor 13 New York, NY 10019-6023 Tel: 212.336.8330 / Fax: 212.336.8340 14 Attorneys for Defendants 15 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and OTTOMOTTO LLC 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 18 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 19 20 WAYMO LLC, Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Plaintiff. **DECLARATION OF SYLVIA RIVERA** 21 IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS UBER **TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND** 22 v. OTTOMOTTO LLC'S OPPOSITION TO 23 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., WAYMO'S MOTION TO COMPEL OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING STROZ-RELATED DISCOVERY LLC, 24 25 Defendants. Trial Date: December 4, 2017 26 27 28

- 1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California and a partner with Morrison & Foerster LLP, counsel of record for Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC (collectively "Uber") in this action. I am admitted to practice before this Court. I submit this declaration in support of Defendants' Opposition to Motion to Compel Stroz-Related Discovery. I am one of the attorneys at Morrison & Foerster that oversaw discovery efforts in this litigation. I make this declaration based on personal knowledge, my communications with my colleagues, clients, and e-discovery vendors, and my inspection of our document production records. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the matters set forth herein.
- 2. In the course of this litigation, Uber investigated and identified numerous devices of relevant personnel to be searched for purposes of trying to locate the 14,000 files and to comply with its discovery obligations. As part of that effort, MoFo attorneys participated in interviews with numerous Uber personnel, including former Ottomotto personnel, regarding their computer use. In the course of that investigation, we learned that Ottomotto computers became Uber computers as a result of Uber's acquisition of Ottomotto. In consultation with our vendor, all such computers for in-scope personnel were imaged for searching for purposes of the litigation. We are not aware of the existence of Ottomotto-owned computers that were within Uber's (or Ottomotto's) possession, custody, or control that were withheld.
- 3. With specific regard to the devices collected for the Diligenced Employees (Anthony Levandowski, Lior Ron, Don Burnette, Soren Juelsgaard, and Colin Sebern), Uber searched them for the 14,000 files and ran search terms for responsiveness to document requests.
- 4. Our collection of imaged devices does not include the two Levandowski personal computers that are the subject of part I of Waymo's motion. We do not know the whereabouts of those computers. Based on our investigation, Uber also does not know the whereabouts of those computers.
- 5. The concurrently-filed Declaration of Arturo Gonzalez discusses searches of those computers that we understand Goodwin Procter LLP performed. On or about June 19, 2017,

2.1

Uber produced to Waymo responsive documents from the search results provided to us by Goodwin Procter.

- 6. Uber also made the Relativity workspace containing all files (responsive and non-responsive) hitting on Uber's and Waymo's search terms for the 14,000-plus files identified in Waymo's preliminary injunction papers available for inspection by Waymo, including any hits from the search results received from Goodwin Procter.
- 7. A true and correct copy of an excerpt from the September 28, 2017 deposition of Kevin Faulkner is attached as **Exhibit A**.
- 8. In August 2017, Stroz made available to MoFo, as Uber's counsel in this litigation, thousands of documents that Stroz had identified for proposed production in response to Waymo's subpoena. We engaged contract attorneys, supervised by MoFo attorneys, to review the documents to identify any that are privileged. We then prepared a privilege log for the documents in Stroz's possession over which Uber is asserting privilege. We served that privilege log just hours after Stroz transmitted its document production on September 16, 2017. In the course of reviewing those thousands of documents in Stroz's possession, we came across drafts of the Stroz Report for the first time and placed them on the privilege log.
- 9. Attached hereto as **Exhibit G** is a true and correct copy of an email sent by Nancy Hoang on September 17, 2017, serving the privilege log.
- 10. Waymo did not request revisions to the privilege log that Uber served on September 17 for documents in Stroz's possession over which Uber asserted privilege. We served an amended version of that privilege log on September 27 primarily to remove entries that pre-dated April 12, 2016 that we had identified as being included inadvertently.
- 11. I am familiar with the circumstances under which MoFo received the MoFo Sliver of Mr. Levandowski's files from Stroz, in our capacity as counsel for Mr. Levandowski in the arbitration proceedings. At the time, MoFo did not inform Uber of our receipt of the MoFo Sliver. MoFo informed Uber of the MoFo Sliver only in connection with MoFo's disclosure of the sliver in this litigation.

2.1

- 12. MoFo received the MoFo Sliver of documents from Stroz because the types of materials contained therein—largely photographs, images, and videos—are cumbersome to review in a Relativity review platform. MoFo did not select the materials because of their source, and does not have first-hand knowledge of the original source of the materials.
- 13. I conferred with Melanie Blunschi, counsel for Stroz in this litigation, regarding the origin of the MoFo Sliver of files. I understand from Ms. Blunschi that the sliver of files at MoFo came from the MacBook Mr. Levandowski provided to Stroz during the diligence investigation.
- 14. Attached hereto as **Exhibit K** is a true and correct copy of an email dated October 10, 2017, from Melanie Blunschi, Stroz's counsel.
- 15. Today Waymo deposed a third representative from Stroz, Mary Fulginiti. We understand it will be deposing a fourth, Melanie Maugeri, later this week.
- 16. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of portions of the deposition transcript of Lior Ron's deposition taken on June 19, 2017.
- 17. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of portions of the deposition transcript of Rhian Morgan's deposition taken on August 18, 2017.
- 18. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of a portion of the deposition transcript of Don Burnette's deposition taken on August 18, 2017.
- 19. Attached hereto as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct copy of interrogatory no. 2 of Waymo's expedited interrogatories, served June 5, 2017.
- 20. Attached hereto as **Exhibit F** is a true and correct copy of portions of the deposition transcript of Colin Sebern's deposition taken on August 22, 2017.
- 21. Attached hereto as **Exhibit H** is a true and correct copy of an email sent by Arturo Gonzalez dated September 21, 2017.
- 22. Attached hereto as **Exhibit I** is a true and correct copy of portions of the deposition transcript of Eric Friedberg's deposition taken on September 28, 2017.
- 23. Attached hereto as **Exhibit J** is a true and correct copy of portions of the deposition transcript of Hanley Chew's deposition taken on October 6, 2017.

	C@&Se-331.77cvv0009399VWH4A D006UMR8H1200208 FFFE00110012751177 FR89865600156
1	24. Attached hereto as Exhibit L is a true and correct copy of portions of the
2	deposition transcript of Don Burnette's deposition taken on October 13, 2017.
3	I declare until penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this
4	17th day of October, 2017, in Los Angeles, California.
5	17th day of October, 2017, in Los Angeles, Camorina.
6	/s/ Sylvia Rivera
	Sylvia Rivera
7	ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE
8	I, Arturo J. González, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this
9	Declaration. In compliance with Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that Sylvia Rivera has
10	concurred in this filing.
11	Dated: October 17, 2017/s/Arturo J. González
12	Arturo J. González
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	